



As per the proposal circulated earlier, a joint meeting to deliberate on the ongoing legal matter and the mediation proceedings was convened on Sunday, 28 September 2025.

Attendees:

1. Shri S.K. Sarin
2. Shri Suresh Kumar
3. Shri A K Hassija
4. Shri M. Cariappa Appaiah
5. Shri Naveen Kapoor
6. Shri Arvind Kumar Sharma

Despite the limited attendance, the members present held a detailed discussion on the current legal status and the mediation process underway.

Key Outcomes of the Meeting:

1. Disapproval of Mediation Route: Although there is no due amount payable to M/s Hi-Tech and all submitted bills have been found to be fabricated, the Society had earlier, in 2024, considered resolving the long-pending dispute through mediation. This was primarily due to the arbitration award issued in Hi-Tech's favour and in the interest of bringing closure to the matter, as the case has been pending for a long time and the Society continues to bear heavy legal expenses on it. However, despite the Society's willingness, M/s Hi-Tech did not show any interest in participating in the mediation process at that time.

In the meeting it was revealed that, in 2009, the Society was offered an amount of ₹55,00,000/- by M/s Hi-Tech towards settlement of the ongoing dispute. The Society agreed to enter mediation proceedings, considering an add-on of simple bank interest on the offered amount as a potential basis for resolution. However, upon review of the current status of the mediation process (Last Mediation Date 26.09.2025), it has become apparent that the respondent, M/s Hi-Tech, is unwilling to engage in any negotiations concerning the principal amount of the award.

The Society's objection has consistently pertained to the principal amount, which remains unacceptable to the Society both historically and at present. Based on legal advice and in view of the strong position taken by Mr. Tarun Goel on this matter, all members present unanimously agreed that continuation of the mediation process is neither feasible nor productive at this stage.

Accordingly, it is resolved that the mediation route is no longer viable and should be formally discontinued.

2. Directive to Advocate: It was resolved that our legal counsel, Advocate Shri Talwar, should be firmly advised to represent the society's case in a proper and proactive manner before the Hon'ble High Court.

3. Contingency on Legal Representation: In case there is no marked improvement in the representation & approach of the current advocate, the MC should consider appointing alternate legal representation to safeguard the society's interests effectively.

This summary is being shared for the information of all members, and to place the resolution on record. Suggestions from members who could not attend the meeting are welcome.